

(19)



Europäisches Patentamt

European Patent Office

Office européen des brevets



(11)

EP 1 130 931 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
05.09.2001 Bulletin 2001/36

(51) Int Cl: H04Q 7/22

(21) Application number: 00301782.9

(22) Date of filing: 03.03.2000

(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SE
Designated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI

(71) Applicant: LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636 (US)

(72) Inventor: Xiaobao X Chen
Swindon, Wiltshire SN4 4DQ (GB)


(74) Representative: Williams, David John et al
Lucent Technologies UK Limited,
5 Mornington Road
Woodford Green, Essex IG8 0TU (GB)

(54) Resource reservation in mobile packet data telecommunications network


(57) In the UMTS resource reservation is provided by sending either the data object contents or the entire

contents of a QoS request in RSVP messages. The QoS request is piggybacked in PDP Context messages, and is passed transparently between MT 30 and GGSN 24.

FIG. 3



EP 1 130 931 A1



(19)

Europäisches Patentamt
European Patent Office
Office européen des brevets



(11) EP 1 130 931 A1

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication:
05.09.2001 Bulletin 2001/36

(51) Int Cl. 7: H04Q 7/22

(21) Application number: 00301782.9

(22) Date of filing: 03.03.2000


(84) Designated Contracting States:
AT BE CH CY DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU
MC NL PT SEDesignated Extension States:
AL LT LV MK RO SI(71) Applicant: LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.
Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974-0636 (US)(72) Inventor: Xiaobao X Chen
Swindon, Wiltshire SN4 4DQ (GB)(74) Representative: Williams, David John et al
Lucent Technologies UK Limited,
5 Mornington Road
Woodford Green, Essex IG8 0TU (GB)

(54) Resource reservation in mobile packet data telecommunications network

(57) In the UMTS resource reservation is provided by sending either the data object contents or the entire

contents of a QoS request in RSVP messages. The QoS request is piggybacked in PDP Context messages, and is passed transparently between MT 30 and GGSN 24.

FIG. 3



EP 1 130 931 A1

Description

[0001] This invention relates to telecommunication networks operating Internet Protocol (IP), and relates especially to a method of reserving resources.

[0002] In third generation (3G) telecommunications networks, such as Universal Mobile Telecommunication Systems (UMTS), broad bandwidth is provided for services such as data and multimedia in addition to voice. An obvious need is that required Quality of Service (QoS) should be provided to users but in IP networks if contention for resources is not resolved then QoS can not be guaranteed.

[0003] In IP networks or, in general, Internet, Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP) is used to allow the network to reserve the resources so as to provide QoS. RSVP is an end-to-end protocol and is illustrated in Figure 1.

[0004] A transmitting user 10 sends to a receiving user 12 a message PATH. The PATH message carries the traffic characteristics information such as TSpecs to indicate the traffic behavior that is to be sent from the user 10.

[0005] When the receiving user 12 receives the PATH message, it sends a RESV message that contains QoS request such as FlowSpecs.

[0006] In practice, the transmitting and receiving users 10 and 12 can be located remotely so that PATH and RESV messages pass through several nodes. As each node receives both messages, it makes the decision as to whether adequate resources in that node can be reserved. If this is possible, then the messages are relayed to the next hop for the PATH message and the previous hop for the RESV message. When the RESV message reaches the transmitting user 10, it begins to transmit data.

[0007] Periodic refresh messages are sent subsequently to maintain the QoS status at each node that has been set up.

[0008] In UMTS, RSVP may be used to support those applications that use RSVP to activate the QoS control. It is proposed in TSG2#10 S2-0000131, "Processing RSVP Signalling in MT" that RSVP is supported in MT for activating QoS control in UMTS. But the major drawbacks that have been envisaged are that the RSVP messages are transmitted separately across the UMTS networks and thus consume extra UMTS network resources, in particular, the radio resources. In addition, it increases traffic load to the UMTS network.

[0009] It is an object of the invention to provide a method of reserving resources in third or future generations of wireless mobile networks such as UMTS networks that has no or minimal impact on existing architecture or QoS procedures, and minimizes any extra signalling traffic associated with supporting the method.

[0010] According to the invention, in a third or future generation telecommunication network, a method of allocating resources for user traffic passing between a

mobile terminal and a remote user characterized by sending at least a data object content of a quality of service request transparently between a support node associated with said remote user and said mobile terminal.

[0011] In the accompanying drawings, Figure 1 illustrates the operation of RSVP. The invention will be described by way of example only with reference to Figures 2 and 3 in which:

Figure 2 illustrates the schematically the UMTS, and Figure 3 illustrates the interchange of messages according to the invention.

[0012] In Figure 2 the UMTS 20 comprises a Core Network (CN) 22 formed by Gateway 24 and a CN Edge 26 and a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) 28. A Mobile Terminal (MT) 30 communicates with the UTRAN 28 across the radio interface. The MT 30 is UMTS specific and is connected to the Terminal Equipment (TE) 32 that may run non-UMTS specific applications.

[0013] The Gateway 24 can communicate with External Network 40.

[0014] Each illustrated component has sub-components which are not further described.

[0015] The UMTS 20 operates the Application-specific Packet Data Protocol (PDP) Context as usual to negotiate the QoS and activate the QoS control between the MT 30 and UMTS network 20.

[0016] In Figure 3, the CN Edge is now termed Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN) 26 and the Gateway is now termed Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN) 24.

[0017] In the inventive method, the RSVP messages are "piggybacked" to the PDP Context messages. Figure 3 illustrates RSVP activated QoS control in the up-link direction.

[0018] The RSVP session is used end-to-end and terminated at the MT 30 and the GGSN 24. The assumption is that the TE 32 intends to set up RSVP session with its remote peer (not shown) that also uses RSVP signaling in the external network.

[0019] When the MT 30 receives the PATH message from TE 32, MT 30 checks to see if a PDP context exists for this RSVP session. If it does, the MT triggers the Modify/Create Secondary PDP context message if there is a change in QoS parameters or if a secondary PDP context needs to be created. The PATH message is piggybacked on the Activate/Modify PDP context Request messages using the PDP config. option. Note that the MT is expected to populate the Requested QoS IE based on the PATH information. SGSN 26 can use the information within the Requested QoS IE (Information Element) to do RAB negotiation with UTRAN 28. The PATH message will be relayed to GGSN 24. The GGSN extracts the PATH message and then relays it to the external network.

[0020] The GGSN can generate the PDP context re-

Description

[0001] This invention relates to telecommunication networks operating Internet Protocol (IP), and relates especially to a method of reserving resources.

[0002] In third generation (3G) telecommunications networks, such as Universal Mobile Telecommunication Systems (UMTS), broad bandwidth is provided for services such as data and multimedia in addition to voice. An obvious need is that required Quality of Service (QoS) should be provided to users but in IP networks if contention for resources is not resolved then QoS can not be guaranteed.

[0003] In IP networks or, in general, Internet, Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP) is used to allow the network to reserve the resources so as to provide QoS. RSVP is an end-to-end protocol and is illustrated in Figure 1.

[0004] A transmitting user 10 sends to a receiving user 12 a message PATH. The PATH message carries the traffic characteristics information such as TSpecs to indicate the traffic behavior that is to be sent from the user 10.

[0005] When the receiving user 12 receives the PATH message, it sends a RESV message that contains QoS request such as FlowSpecs.

[0006] In practice, the transmitting and receiving users 10 and 12 can be located remotely so that PATH and RESV messages pass through several nodes. As each node receives both messages, it makes the decision as to whether adequate resources in that node can be reserved. If this is possible, then the messages are relayed to the next hop for the PATH message and the previous hop for the RESV message. When the RESV message reaches the transmitting user 10, it begins to transmit data.

[0007] Periodic refresh messages are sent subsequently to maintain the QoS status at each node that has been set up.

[0008] In UMTS, RSVP may be used to support those applications that use RSVP to activate the QoS control. It is proposed in TSG2#10 S2-0000131, "Processing RSVP Signalling in MT" that RSVP is supported in MT for activating QoS control in UMTS. But the major drawbacks that have been envisaged are that the RSVP messages are transmitted separately across the UMTS networks and thus consume extra UMTS network resources, in particular, the radio resources. In addition, it increases traffic load to the UMTS network.

[0009] It is an object of the invention to provide a method of reserving resources in third or future generations of wireless mobile networks such as UMTS networks that has no or minimal impact on existing architecture or QoS procedures, and minimizes any extra signalling traffic associated with supporting the method.

[0010] According to the invention, in a third or future generation telecommunication network, a method of allocating resources for user traffic passing between a

mobile terminal and a remote user characterized by sending at least a data object content of a quality of service request transparently between a support node associated with said remote user and said mobile terminal.

[0011] In the accompanying drawings, Figure 1 illustrates the operation of RSVP. The invention will be described by way of example only with reference to Figures 2 and 3 in which -

Figure 2 illustrates the schematically the UMTS; and Figure 3 illustrates the interchange of messages according to the invention.

[0012] In Figure 2 the UMTS 20 comprises a Core Network (CN) 22 formed by Gateway 24 and a CN Edge 26 and a UMTS Terrestrial Radio Access Network (UTRAN) 28. A Mobile Terminal (MT) 30 communicates with the UTRAN 28 across the radio interface. The MT 30 is UMTS specific and is connected to the Terminal Equipment (TE) 32 that may run non-UMTS specific applications.

[0013] The Gateway 24 can communicate with External Network 40.

[0014] Each illustrated component has sub-components which are not further described.

[0015] The UMTS 20 operates the Application-specific Packet Data Protocol (PDP) Context as usual to negotiate the QoS and activate the QoS control between the MT 30 and UMTS network 20.

[0016] In Figure 3, the CN Edge is now termed Serving GPRS Support Node (SGSN) 26 and the Gateway is now termed Gateway GPRS Support Node (GGSN) 24.

[0017] In the inventive method, the RSVP messages are "piggybacked" to the PDP Context messages. Figure 3 illustrates RSVP activated QoS control in the up-link direction.

[0018] The RSVP session is used end-to-end and terminated at the MT 30 and the GGSN 24. The assumption is that the TE 32 intends to set up RSVP session with its remote peer (not shown) that also uses RSVP signaling in the external network.

[0019] When the MT 30 receives the PATH message from TE 32, MT 30 checks to see if a PDP context exists for this RSVP session. If it does, the MT triggers the Modify/Create SecondaryPDP context message if there is a change in QoS parameters or if a secondary PDP context needs to be created. The PATH message is piggybacked on the Activate/Modify PDP context Request messages using the PDP config. option. Note that the MT is expected to populate the Requested QoS IE based on the PATH information. SGSN 26 can use the information within the Requested QoS IE (Information Element) to do RAB negotiation with UTRAN 28. The PATH message will be relayed to GGSN 24. The GGSN extracts the PATH message and then relays it to the external network.

[0020] The GGSN can generate the PDP context re-

sponse without waiting for the RESV message. However, with that approach, GGSN may not be able to populate the Negotiated QoS IE with values that matches RESV QoS requirements. Figure 3 shows that the GGSN waits for RESV before generating the PDP context response message.

[0021] When the RESV response from the remote peer is received at the GGSN 24, it uses this information, along with relevant local configuration, to see if QoS Negotiated is the same as QoS Requested. Then it piggybacks the RESV message on the Activate/Modify PDP Context response message using the PDP config option. RAB re-negotiation can take place between SGSN and UTRAN if QoS Negotiated is different from QoS Requested. Finally the RESV message possibly adapted at the GGSN is sent on to the TE 32 by piggybacking on the Activate /Modify -PDP Context Confirmation message(s).

[0022] It is an advantage of this arrangement that RSVP refresh messages are not required, because a PDP operates a specific release process.

[0023] This proposed piggybacking of RSVP messages/Traffic & QoS Data Objects on PDP Context control messages aims to speed up the end-to-end QoS negotiation process and minimizes the extra traffic load on the network, in particular, over the air interface.

[0024] An alternative solution is to extract the Traffic and QoS Data Objects such as the Tspec, ADSpec from the PATH message and the FlowSpecs from the RESV message and piggyback them using the PDP config option to the Activate/Modify PDP Context Request/Response messages.

[0025] In these circumstances the GGSN can determine if it is a PATH message or a RESV messages or other RSVP messages carried by secondary PDP context by looking for the header information. A special flag is provided on QoS data objects. There are at most twelve such objects, so a flag occupies at most half a byte; a single byte can accommodate all the different types of RSVP messages including the PATH and RESV messages.

Claims

1. In a third or future generation telecommunication network, a method of allocating resources for user traffic passing between a mobile terminal and a remote user characterized by sending at least a data object content of a quality of service request transparently between a support node associated with said remote user and said mobile terminal.
2. A method according to claim 1 in which the telecommunication network operates a packet data protocol context and the data object content is included in a packet data protocol context message.

3. A method according to claim 1 or 2 in which the quality of service request is carried in the resource reservation protocol.

5 4. A method according to any preceding claim in which the data objects are each identified by a header information.

10 5. A method according to any preceding claim comprising sending an entire quality of service request.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

sponse without waiting for the RESV message. However, with that approach, GGSN may not be able to populate the Negotiated QoS IE with values that matches RESV QoS requirements. Figure 3 shows that the GGSN waits for RESV before generating the PDP context response message.

[0021] When the RESV response from the remote peer is received at the GGSN 24, it uses this information, along with relevant local configuration, to see if QoS Negotiated is the same as QoS Requested. Then it piggybacks the RESV message on the Activate/Modify PDP Context response message using the PDP config. option. RAB re-negotiation can take place between SGSN and UTRAN if QoS Negotiated is different from QoS Requested. Finally the RESV message possibly adapted at the GGSN is sent on to the TE 32 by piggybacking on the Activate /Modify -PDP Context Confirmation message(s).

[0022] It is an advantage of this arrangement that RSVP refresh messages are not required, because a PDP operates a specific release process.

[0023] This proposed piggybacking of RSVP messages/Traffic & QoS Data Objects on PDP Context control messages aims to speed up the end-to-end QoS negotiation process and minimizes the extra traffic load on the network, in particular, over the air interface.

[0024] An alternative solution is to extract the Traffic and QoS Data Objects such as the Tspec, ADSpec from the PATH message and the FlowSpecs from the RESV message and piggyback them using the PDP config. option to the Activate/Modify PDP Context Request/Response messages.

[0025] In these circumstances the GGSN can determine if it is a PATH message or a RESV messages or other RSVP messages carried by secondary PDP context by looking for the header information. A special flag is provided on QoS data objects. There are at most twelve such objects, so a flag occupies at most half a byte; a single byte can accommodate all the different types of RSVP messages including the PATH and RESV messages.

Claims

1. In a third or future generation telecommunication network, a method of allocating resources for user traffic passing between a mobile terminal and a remote user characterized by sending at least a data object content of a quality of service request transparently between a support node associated with said remote user and said mobile terminal.
2. A method according to claim 1 in which the telecommunication network operates a packet data protocol context and the data object content is included in a packet data protocol context message.

3. A method according to claim 1 or 2 in which the quality of service request is carried in the resource reservation protocol.

5. A method according to any preceding claim in which the data objects are each identified by a header information.

10 5. A method according to any preceding claim comprising sending an entire quality of service request.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45


50

55

3



FIG. 3






FIG. 1 10—




FIG. 2





FIG. 2



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number
EP 00 30 1782

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT									
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages	Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.7)						
3 X	<p>WO 00 10357 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ; HAUMONT SERGE (FI); NIEMELAE TUOMAS (FI); PUUSK) 24 February 2000 (2000-02-24)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * page 7, line 6 - line 25 * * page 11, line 5 - line 22 * * page 13, line 15 - line 17 * 	1-5	H04Q7/22						
			TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.7)						
			H04Q						
<p>The present search report has been drawn up for all claims</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 33%;">Place of search</td> <td style="width: 33%;">Date of completion of the search</td> <td style="width: 34%;">Examiner</td> </tr> <tr> <td>BERLIN</td> <td>28 July 2000</td> <td>Bocking, P</td> </tr> </table> <p>CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS</p> <p>X : particularly relevant if taken alone Y : particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category. A : technological background O : non-written disclosure P : intermediate document</p> <p>T : theory or principle underlying the invention E : earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D : document cited in the application L : document cited for other reasons & : member of the same patent family, corresponding document</p>				Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner	BERLIN	28 July 2000	Bocking, P
Place of search	Date of completion of the search	Examiner							
BERLIN	28 July 2000	Bocking, P							



European Patent
Office

EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

Application Number

EP 00 30 1782

DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			Relevant to claim	CLASSIFICATION OF THE APPLICATION (Int.Cl.)		
Category	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of relevant passages					
3 X	WO 00 10357 A (NOKIA NETWORKS OY ; HAUMONT SERGE (FI); NIEMELAE TUOMAS (FI); PUUSK) 24 February 2000 (2000-02-24) * page 7, line 6 - line 25 * * page 11, line 5 - line 22 * * page 13, line 15 - line 17 * -----	1-5	H04Q7/22			
TECHNICAL FIELDS SEARCHED (Int.Cl.)						
H04Q						
The present search report has been drawn up for all claims						
Place of search	Date of completion of the search		Examiner			
BERLIN	28 July 2000		Bocking, P			
CATEGORY OF CITED DOCUMENTS						
X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with another document of the same category A: technological background O: non-written disclosure P: intermediate document						
T: theory or principle underlying the invention E: earlier patent document, but published on, or after the filing date D: document cited in the application L: document cited for other reasons S: member of the same patent family, corresponding document						

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 00 30 1782

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information

28-07-2000

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0010357 A	24-02-2000	F1 981722 A AU 5291899 A	11-02-2000 06-03-2000

EPO FORM P0165

For more details about this annex : see Official Journal of the European Patent Office, No. 12/82

**ANNEX TO THE EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT
ON EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION NO.**

EP 00 30 1782

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned European search report.
The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EPO file on.
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information.

28-07-2000

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO 0010357 A	24-02-2000	FI 981722 A AU 5291899 A	11-02-2000 06-03-2000